Quantum transport effects and their modeling



Frederik Heinz Fabian Bufler Andreas Schenk Wolfgang Fichtner

SNDT Taiwan, May 2004



Integrated Systems Laboratory



Outline

★ Motivation

- ★ Overview: Simulation models
- ★ "Conventional" device simulation
- ★ Classical Monte-Carlo simulation
 - Treatment of non-localities
 - Strained silicon devices
- ★ Modeling of quantum effects

★ Conclusion



Motivation

Scaling is the driving force behind the semiconductor revolution



- Reduced device dimensions require refined simulation models:
 - higher fields ⇒ non–local transport effects
 - quantum mechanics

G.E.Moore, *Electronics*, **38**(8) (1965); M.Lundstrom, *Science* **299**, 210 (2003)

Integrated Systems Laboratory



A Taxonomy of Simulation Models

	near equilibrium dissipative (local mobility)	quasi–ballistic	fully ballistic
quantum mechanics	Quantum drift–diffusion	NEGF with Büttiker probes	NEGF without $\Sigma_{scatter}$
$\mathbf{M} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m^2} \nabla^2$		Wigner eq ⁿ	Scattering matrix
classical mechanics	Drift–diffusion Hydro	Boltzmann eq ⁿ (full band MC)	scattering free Boltzmann (analytic)

Integrated Systems Laboratory



The Boltzmann Transport Equation (BTE)

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mathbf{r}} - \frac{e}{\hbar} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mathbf{k}}\right) f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{k}, t) = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}t}\right)_{\mathrm{coll}}$$

 Device simulation is based on the kinetic theory of gases.

★ Dynamic variable: Classical distribution function $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{k}, t) d^3 r d^3 k = \#(\text{particles in } d^3 r d^3 k)$

×



The "Conventional" Simulation Models

★ BTE: integro–differential eqⁿ over \mathbb{R}^7 . ⇒ Numerical effort rather high.

★ Reduce effort by the Method of Moments

- New dynamic variables: **k**-averages of $\otimes^n \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{k}) f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{k}, t)$
- Use only the (two) lowest moments.
- Introduce a local parameterization of μ .

 \Rightarrow Hydrodynamic eqⁿs / Drift–Diffusion eqⁿs



Limitations of the "Conventional" Models

★Assumptions

- local thermal equilibrium
- \mathbf{v}_{mean} is a function of local quantities.
- **×** fail in very small devices:
 - not enough scattering for local thermalization
 - ▶ ballistic contributions to v_{mean} electron velocity depends on the history \Rightarrow velocity overshoot.



A Taxonomy of Simulation Models

	near equilibrium dissipative (local mobility)	quasi-ballistic	fully ballistic
quantum mechanics	Quantum drift–diffusion	NEGF with Büttiker probes	NEGF without Σ _{scatter}
$\mathbf{M} - \frac{h^2}{2m^2} \nabla^2$		Wigner eq <u>n</u>	Scattering matrix
classical mechanics	Drift–diffusion Hydro	Boltzmann eq ⁿ (full band MC)	scattering free Boltzmann (analytic)

Integrated Systems Laboratory



★ Characteristics of the Boltzmann equation:

- High dimensionality of the space of possible f_{i} ,
- Stochastic nature of the scattering term.
- Direct discretization problematic / inefficient.
- Monte–Carlo methods well suited.



Density-of-States DOS





Electrical Engineering

Integrated Systems Laboratory

Output Characteristics



Different simulation models



Output Characteristics



Different gate lengths





Velocity Profiles along the Channel



Velocity in source-side of channel determines on-current





Strained Silicon



Silicon–Germanium and strained Silicon are now heavily used in semiconductor industry * compute the mobility by bulk Monte–Carlo [input: band-structure of strained Si]

Integrated Systems Laboratory



SiGe and strained Si Lattice constant

- ★ Silicon: 5.43 Å
- ★ Germanium: 👘 5.66 Å
- **\star** Si_{1-x}Ge_x: depends on the mole-fraction x.
- ★ Lattices of deposited layer will naturally adapt:
 - SiGe on top of Silicon is under compressive stress
 - Silicon on top of SiGe is stretched
 - increased mobility !!!





Integrated Systems Laboratory



Strained CMOS – Strain in the Cap Layer

StressXX

2.0E+09

2.9E+05

4.2E+01

-4.2E+01

-2.9E+05

-2.0F+09

0.2

0.1

¢



StressXX

2.0E+09

2.9E+05

4.2E+01

-4.2E+01

-2.9E+05

-2.0E+09

0.2

-0.2

-0.1

0

0.1

-0.2

-0.1

≻

stressed cap

High tensile stress in the cap layer results in compressive stress in source and drain and tensile stress in the channel.



The NMOS with the highly tensile stress cap layer shows an improved saturation current (approx. 14%).

Integrated Systems Laboratory

0,1

No-stress cap

-0.2

-0.1

0-

0.1

-0.2

≻



Quantum mechanics becomes important!



Integrated Systems Laboratory



Five quantum effects



Integrated Systems Laboratory



A Taxonomy of Simulation Models



Integrated Systems Laboratory



Quantum Ballistic Transport

- ★ Coherent transport
 - ∃ inelastic scattering.
 - An e^- remains in a fixed Ψ (solution of Schrödinger eqⁿ).
 - When occupied, Ψ carries a current $I(\Psi) \propto \text{transmission probability } T(\epsilon)$.
- * Thermal carrier injection at the contacts.

$$\Rightarrow \text{ Landauer-Büttiker formula:} \qquad \text{from open boundary Schrödinger eq}^{\underline{n}}$$

$$I = -\frac{2e}{h} \qquad \sum_{v,i} \int_{\epsilon_{v,i}^{0}}^{\infty} d\epsilon \ T_{v,i}(\epsilon) \left(f\left(\beta(\epsilon - \epsilon_{\text{Fermi}}^{\text{src}})\right) - f\left(\beta(\epsilon - \epsilon_{\text{Fermi}}^{\text{drn}})\right) \right) \qquad \underline{1D}$$

$$I = -\frac{2e}{h} \sqrt{\pi} \frac{W}{\lambda_{\text{th}}} \sum_{v,i} \int_{\epsilon_{v,i}^{0}}^{\infty} d\epsilon \ T_{v,i}(\epsilon) \left(\mathfrak{F}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\beta(\epsilon_{\text{Fermi}}^{\text{src}} - \epsilon)\right) - \mathfrak{F}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\beta(\epsilon_{\text{Fermi}}^{\text{drn}} - \epsilon)\right) \right) \ \underline{2D}$$

W: width of the device $\lambda_{\rm th}:$ electron thermal wave–length $h/\sqrt{2m^*k_{\rm B}T}$

Integrated Systems Laboratory



Source–Drain Tunneling in nano–MOSFETS



double-gate MOSFETs with a Si body thickness of 1 nm ($V_{sd} = 1 \,\mu V$).

Integrated Systems Laboratory



Model comparison ($L_{gate} = 5nm$)



7/1

Electrical Engineering

Simulation of Quantum–Dot Flash RAM

~> Challenge: Devices with quantum dots and classical channels.

× SIMNAD cannot handle dissipative transport . . . √ . . . but DESSIS can !

DESSIS has a 1D Schrödinger solver and a QDD facility, but

× it cannot handle multi-dimensional confinement properly. . .
 ✓ . . . but SIMNAD can !

*** Together**, the two simulators can do both !

 \Rightarrow Apply a simulator coupling scheme !



Coupled DESSIS/SIMNAD Simulations

Simulator coupling: SIMNAD charge density on DESSIS mesh:



Integrated Systems Laboratory



Coupled DESSIS/SIMNAD Results



Integrated Systems Laboratory



Conclusion

- **\star** Downscaling of devices \Rightarrow non–local phenomena
- ★ Two kinds of non-localities
 - classical: ballistic transport; non-local μ
 - quantum: wave-nature of the carriers
- ★ classical non-localities: full band MC ☺
- ★ quantum mechanics: ☺/☺
 simplifications necessary (high comp. effort)
- DESSIS/SIMNAD coupling:
 quantum dots and classical channels in one device



Acknowledgments

Part of this work was carried out in the context of the EU research project IST–1999–10828 (NANOTCAD) with financial support by the Swiss Federal Office for Education and Science (BBW).



The work on Monte–Carlo simulation was partially funded by the Swiss "Kommission für Technologie und Innovation" (KTI).





Ballistic MOSFET under forward bias



Integrated Systems Laboratory

