Simulation of impacts of traps on the optical response of a butt-coupled InGaAs photodetector

Qian Ding,^{1*} Andreas Schenk,¹

¹ Department of Information Technology and Electrical Engineering, Gloriastrasse 35, ETH Zurich, Zurich 8092, Switzerland *dingq@iis.ee.ethz.ch

Abstract: We studied the impacts of hole traps on the optical response of a butt-coupled $In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As$ photodetector. Traps at the $In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As/SiO_2$ interface deteriorate quantum efficiency and the two highest cut-off frequencies. Traps at the $In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As/Si$ interface improve the lowest cut-off frequency. © 2023 The Author(s)

1. Introduction

Highly efficient monolithic III-V/Si waveguide-coupled photodetectors (WGPDs) with fast response are favorable for many future applications, such as optical interconnects and quantum information processing. The monolithic integration of III-V materials with Si is fundamentally challenging [1], and interface traps are an inevitable byproduct of the fabrication process. Therefore, it is necessary to investigate the impacts of traps on the optical performance of such WGPDs. Here, the studied device is a non-plasmonic butt-coupled p-i-n $In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As$ WGPD proposed in our former work [2], with its 3D structure sketched in Fig. 1(a). To gain insights into the effect of defects on device performance, we assume hole-type traps (h-traps) at two different locations: (i) at the bottom $In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As/SiO_2$ interface [3] and (ii) at the $In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As/Si-WG$ interface. The impacts of h-traps on the optical response can be extracted by comparing response curves obtained from a defective and an ideal device.

2. Simulation Methodology

The optical response curve is obtained from coupled 3D opto-electrical simulations. First, the optical generation rate is calculated using *Sentaurus Electromagnetic Solver*. Then we perform electrical transport simulation with *Sentaurus Device*, where the reverse bias is quasi-statically ramped to -2 V. On top of that, an optical AC analysis is performed to calculate the optical response curve, which describes the change of the QE induced by a modulation of the optical generation. Details of the simulation are explained in Ref. [2].

3. Simulation Results

First, for h-traps at $In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As/SiO_2$ interfaces, the optical response curves of the ideal and defective device are shown in Fig. 1 (b). The optical response of the ideal device (dark solid curve) has three cut-off frequencies [2]:



Fig. 1: (a) Sketch of studied device structure. Comparison of optical response curves obtained from an ideal and a defective device with htraps at (b) all $In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As/SiO_2$ interfaces with a concentration of 1e12, 1e13, and 1e14 cm⁻²eV⁻¹, (c) only at the p/i/n-In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As/SiO₂ interface with a concentration of 1e14 cm⁻²eV⁻¹. (d) Hole density profiles of a device without and with traps at n-In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As/SiO₂ interface at -2 V. (e), (f)/(g) E-field, band diagrams along p-i-Si/i-SiO₂ direction of a device without and with traps at i-In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As/SiO₂ interface.

the lowest cut-off is mainly limited by hole diffusion from Si, but also the small electric field (E-field) near the i-region/Si interface, while the middle (highest) cut-off is caused by drift of holes (electrons) in the high-field iregion far from Si. The presence of traps leads to a decrease of quantum efficiency (QE) and the two highest cut-off frequencies, whereas the lowest cut-off frequency slightly increases with rising trap concentration. To figure out the origins of such observations, we perform additional simulations with h-traps (concentration of 1e14 cm⁻²eV⁻¹) only located at the interface between p/i/n-InGaAs and bottom SiO₂ (see Fig. 1 (c)). One can see that the drop of QE (change of cut-off frequencies) is mainly related to h-traps at the interface between n-doped (intrinsic) InGaAs region and bottom SiO_2 . Fig. 1 (d) compares the hole density profiles without and with h-traps at the n-InGaAs/SiO₂ interface, showing that the loss of optically generated holes in the n-doped regions causes the deterioration of the QE. To analyze why the cut-off frequencies change, we compare the E-field profiles without and with h-traps at the i-InGaAs/SiO₂ interface (see Fig. 1 (e)). The decrease of the two highest cut-off frequencies is due to the smaller E-field in the i-region far from the interface to Si, caused by the weaker band bending in the presence of such traps (see Fig. 1 (f)). The small increase of the lowest cut-off is related to the slightly enhanced E-field in the i-region near the i-region/Si interface (see Fig. 1 (e)), where the higher field is a consequence of the stronger band bending at the i-region/SiO₂ interface resulting from the assumed h-traps, as shown in Fig. 1 (g). Next, we study h-traps at the $In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As/Si$ interface, in particular the section between i- $In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As$ and Si-WG which is part of the butt-coupled structure. The comparison of the simulated optical response curves of the ideal and defective device is shown in Fig. 2 (a). It turns out that in the presence of this type of traps, though the QE slightly drops, the lowest cut-off frequency largely improves and the other two highest cut-off frequencies are almost unaffected. Since the lowest cut-off frequency is mainly limited by the slow hole diffusion process near the i-region/Si interface in the ideal device [2], we compare the hole density profiles of the ideal and defective device in this region to find out the reason behind the observed improvement. As one can see in Fig. 2 (b) and (c), the



Fig. 2: (a) Comparison of optical response curves obtained from an ideal and a defective device with h-traps at the $In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As/Si-WG$ interfaces having a concentration of 1e12, 1e13, and 1e14 cm⁻²eV⁻¹. (b)/(c) Comparison of hole density profiles/band diagrams at -2 V obtained from simulations without and with h-traps at the $In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As/Si-WG$ interface with a concentration of 1e14 cm⁻²eV⁻¹.

hole density gradient near the i-region/Si interface of the ideal device comes from the hole potential well at this interface, which is related to the valence band offset at the $i-In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As/Si$ interface. However, in presence of the assumed h-traps this density gradient disappears. Thus, the slow hole diffusion process no longer exists in the defective device, and the lowest cut-off frequency becomes higher.

4. Conclusion

In a butt-coupled $In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As$ p-i-n photodetector, hole traps at the interfaces between n-doped $In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As$ and SiO₂ lead to a drop of the QE due to the loss of optically generated holes there. Hole-type traps at the i-In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As/SiO₂ weaken (strengthen) the E-field in the i-region far from (close to) the i-region/Si interface, resulting in a decrease of the two highest cut-off frequencies (an increase of the lowest cut-off frequency). Hole traps at the In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As/Si interface help to improve the lowest cut-off frequency by eliminating the slow hole diffusion process near the i-region/Si interface.

References

- 1. M. Scherrer, N. Vico Triviño, S. Mauthe, P. Tiwari, H. Schmid, and K. E. Moselund, "In-plane monolithic integration of scaled iii-v photonic devices," Appl. Sci. 11 (2021).
- 2. Q. Ding, S. Sant, and A. Schenk, "Electrostatic impacts of plasmonic structure on the performance of monolithically integrated hybrid III-V/Si waveguide-coupled photodetectors," OSA Continuum 4, 953–965 (2021).
- 3. A. Schenk, S. Sant, K. Moselund, and H. Riel, "Comparative simulation study of InAs/Si and All-III-V hetero tunnel FETs," ECS Trans. 66, 157–169 (2015).